



BOARD RECOMMENDATION

Northeast Region Board Meeting – April 11,

DATE: April 5, 2010

TO: Northeast Region Board of Directors

FROM: Richard Eustis, Chair of Bylaws/Administrative References Task Team on behalf of Roy Olsen, RA, CSI, CCS; Chair of Region Awards Committee.

SUBJECT: Recommendation for revision to the Region Awards Guide Section 10. by adding two new Awards to recognize the outgoing Region President and Vice President.

Part I Recommendation:

That the Region Guide Section 10. be revised as adding the following new sections and then correcting the numbering of the following sections based on the new additions:

J. Outgoing Region President's Citation

1. Description: Awarded to the outgoing Region President at the end of the term, in recognition of service to the Region.
2. Limit: 1
3. Form of Award: The award shall be in the form of a specially prepared cast or engraved plaque
4. Nomination: None

K. Outgoing Region Vice President's Citation

1. Description: Awarded to the outgoing Region Vice President at the end of the term, in recognition of service to the Region.
2. Limit: 1
3. Form of Award: The award shall be in the form of a specially prepared cast or engraved plaque
4. Nomination: None

Part II Documentation of the Recommendation

A. Problem

1. The current Region Awards does not recognize that the Region now has a President and Vice President that is separate from the position of Institute Director

B. Factors bearing on the problem

1. Facts:

- a. Until the current year, the Region President and Vice President positions were filled by the Region's Institute Directors.
- b. For their service to the Region as both an Institute Director and as a Region President, Institute Directors were recognized by an "Outgoing Institute Director's Citation"

2. Assumptions

- a. The separation of the Institute Director's and Region President/Vice Presidents positions results in a need to recognize the service to the Region provided by the Region President and Region Vice President.
- b. The new awards should be comparable to the Awards presented to previous Region Presidents and Vice Presidents.

3. Related Goal

- a. This recommendation does not relate to any Region Goal.

4. Costs

- a. There are a minor cost associated with this recommendation that is included in the Awards budget.

C. Discussion

- D.** The current Region Awards Guide does not adequately recognize the changes that occurred in the Region governance structure resulting from the Institute Bylaw changes two years ago.

E. Alternatives

1. The Region can approve the creation of the two new awards.
2. The Region can reject the creation of awards to recognize the contributions made by the Region President and Vice President during their term of office.
3. The Region can amend the proposal as required by the Board.

F. Conclusion

1. The most appropriate solution appears to be the addition of the two new awards as suggested by the Region Awards Committee.

END OF RECOMMENDATION

Cc: Region Secretary